

associated with the emergence of dementia. Furthermore, reliable markers identifying the early changes of dementia in DS have not been established since the symptoms of memory dysfunction and disorientation which characterize the early clinical picture of Alzheimer's disease (AD) are not reliable markers of dementia in DS individuals as they also occur in association with their developmental disability. In the literature one approach to solving these problems has been to base a diagnosis of dementia in DS on a change in the level of functioning of an individual patient.¹⁰ Using this approach dementia can be characterized by changes such as reduced speech and comprehension, loss of self help skills, deterioration in social and vocational skills and personality changes.¹¹⁻¹³

The occurrence of AD-type dementia in DS is usually ascribed to the effects of overproduction of amyloid β -peptide (A β),¹⁴ caused by a gene dosage effect on the amyloid precursor protein (APP).²² Mutations in this gene, some of which lead to the overproduction of A β from APP, are another cause of AD.^{15,16} However, it has recently become clear that the ApoE genotype plays an important role both as a risk factor in the aetiology and as a modulator of the age of onset of AD. Studies have shown that the presence of the $\epsilon 4$ allele predisposes to disease and that of the $\epsilon 2$ allele, in some circumstances, delays onset.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Furthermore, ApoE appears to modulate the age of onset of disease in a family in which the primary defect is a mutation in the gene which causes increased A β production.¹⁷ In this family $\epsilon 4$ homozygotes had an earlier age of onset of dementia than $\epsilon 3$ homozygotes and $\epsilon 2$ heterozygotes had a later age of onset. Essentially similar modulation of age of onset has been observed in other families with APP mutations.¹⁸ Preliminary studies have also indicated that the $\epsilon 2$ allele is associated with longevity in the general population²³ and in DS.²⁴

Methods and Subjects

We examined the ApoE genotype in clinically assessed elderly patients with DS from 2 centres: Manchester and Newcastle upon Tyne (UK). A retrospective assessment of the case records was made (including medical and nursing notes) by clinicians blind to the neuropathological data and genotyping results (Table 1). These patients represent a sub-group of individuals reported in previous studies from these centres.^{14,25,27} Patients for this study met the following specific inclusion criteria: adequate quality and quantity of clinical information, long stay residence in institutions with good level of training and educational opportunities, no recent changes in institution, trisomy 21 karyotype, screening for, and documented treatment of hypothyroidism and no concurrent significant medical illness which could have contributed to a deterioration in neuropsychological functioning. For subjects from both centres, a diagnosis of dementia was

Table 1. ApoE genotype of patients with Down's syndrome and assessment of the presence of dementia

Case	Age	ApoE Genotype	Dementia
A	52	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
B	54	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
C	58	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
D	58	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
E	57	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
F	57	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
G	58	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
H	58	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
I	58	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
J	59	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
K	59	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
L	59	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
M	59	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	Yes
N	60	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$	Yes
P	60	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
Q	61	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$	No
R	64	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$	No
S	65	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	Yes
T	67	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	No
U	68	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$	Yes
V	69	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$	No
W	71	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$	No

Table 2. Effect of ApoE genotype on survival and dementia in Down's syndrome

Genotype	$\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$	$\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$	$\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$
Mean age at death	57	60	65	68
Demented	8/8	5/5	1/4	0/7

made only if there was clear evidence of a deterioration in self care skills, continence, sleep pattern, occupational skills or the emergence of new dysfunctional behaviours or psychological symptoms. For the purposes of this study a simple division of the patients into a demented and non-demented group was made. ApoE genotyping was carried out using our modification of a standard procedure.²⁵ The data was examined using the χ^2 statistic (Table 2).

Results and Discussion

No patients were $\epsilon 4$ homozygotes. Those heterozygous for $\epsilon 4$ were youngest at death (mean age 57 years), $\epsilon 3$ homozygotes were intermediate (mean age at death 60 years) and those with at least one $\epsilon 2$ allele were the longest surviving individuals in the study (mean age at death 66). The one patient who was homozygous for $\epsilon 2$ died aged 68. The effect of a single $\epsilon 2$ allele on longevity was highly significant (t -test, $p < 0.01$: absence of an $\epsilon 2$ allele, age at death, 58 ± 4 years, $n = 17$; with $\epsilon 2$ allele, age at death, 66 ± 4 years, $n = 5$). The presence of an $\epsilon 2$ allele had a marked relationship to the cognitive state of the patients. Despite the expectation that longevity would be associated with more marked cognitive decline, those patients with an $\epsilon 2$ allele were less likely to develop dementia (presence of an $\epsilon 2$ allele, 1 of 5 demented, no $\epsilon 2$ allele, 16 of 17 demented; χ^2 , $p < 0.01$; Table 2).

In the general population the $\epsilon 2$ allele is associated with longevity. The increased life span of DS patients who carry the $\epsilon 2$ allele reported in this and previous studies²⁸ is therefore unsurprising. Ageing is the most important risk factor associated with the development of AD²⁹ and studies on DS patients have clearly demonstrated a correlation between age and the amount of β -amyloid neuropathology.³⁰ Thus, one might predict a significant trend for DS subjects with an $\epsilon 2$ allele (by virtue of their increased life expectancy) to exhibit the highest accumulation of β -amyloid neuropathology and therefore be at greatest risk of developing an AD-type dementia. Paradoxically, our study does not support this hypothesis. Subjects with at least one $\epsilon 2$ allele were significantly less likely to develop an AD-type dementia. Our data demonstrate that the trisomy 21 phenotype can be modified by genes on other chromosomes. It is likely that other characteristics of the DS phenotype are similarly variable. In addition our data show a way of integrating the genetic and pathological data and resolving the contradictions between neuropathological and neuropsychological studies on older DS patients. A large part of the scatter in the various data sets for older DS patients is probably explained by variability in their ApoE genotype.

The mechanism underlying the association between ApoE genotype and the development of AD-type neuropathology is uncertain. It has been reported that the ApoE proteins have a variable binding affinity for soluble $A\beta$ (binding affinity of $\epsilon 4 > \epsilon 3 > \epsilon 2$). This may enhance the stability of insoluble β -amyloid. If the $\epsilon 2$ binding affinity is lower it could explain the effect in altering the rate of deposition of β -amyloid and thereby the delayed onset of dementia reported in this study. However, both DS and AD patients with $\epsilon 2$ alleles can become demented and as we have noted previously,²⁸ develop both β -amyloid and tau related pathologies. We interpret this to indicate that the role of the ApoE genotype is probably one of modulating the basic disease process rather than playing a causal role. However, this does not diminish the importance of this interaction as a potential therapeutic target.

Our data indicate that, in patients at risk of developing, or in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease, determination of the ApoE genotype and in particular the possession of an $\epsilon 2$ allele may well offer a considerable advantage in determining the likely prognosis of a

patient. Such prognostications will have considerable utility in the design planning and implementation of clinical care of DS and AD patients. In addition because the clinical expression of dementia is related to the progression and degree of pathology, determination of the ApoE genotype will provide guidance in the selection and implementation of modes of therapeutic intervention both in clinical trials and practice.

Conclusion

Genetic variation in the ApoE gene is an important factor in determining the lifespan of persons with Down's syndrome and determining whether they will become clinically demented. This is important for the development of an understanding of the pathogenesis of all cases of Alzheimer's disease, and is also important because it shows that the phenotype of Down's syndrome is influenced by genes on chromosomes other than chromosome 21.

References

1. Mann DMA. *Arch Aging Dev Dis* 43, 99-109 (1988).
2. Bell MJ, Schapira AH and Rapoport JL. In: Epstein CJ, ed. *The Neuropathology of Down's Syndrome*. New York: Raven Press, 1989; 65-88.
3. Oliver C and Holland AJ. *Psychol Med* 18, 307-322 (1988).
4. Roze A et al. *New York Psychiatr* 325-340 (1993).
5. Royeau MC et al. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2, 43-47 (1994).
6. These M et al. *Brit J Psychiatry* 168, 671-688 (1992).
7. Cuthbertson C and Neary K. *Int J Neurosci* 64, 221-230 (1992).
8. Johnson A et al. *Downsynd 2*, 188-198 (1991).
9. Schapira AH et al. *Neurology* 39, 1248-1253 (1989).
10. Saunders AM et al. *Neurology* 43, 1467-1472 (1993).
11. Corder EH et al. *Science* 251, 821 (1991).
12. Saunders AM et al. *Lancet* 342, 710-711 (1993).
13. Corder EH et al. *Neurogenetics* 7, 189-193 (1996).
14. Houlden H et al. *Lancet* 346, 737-738 (1995).
15. Bartholomew WJ et al. *Exp Neurol* 122, 222-273 (1994).
16. Worling SC et al. *Lancet* 345, 611 (1995).
17. Lai P and Williams RS. *Arch Neurol* 52, 230-236 (1995).
18. Evans DA. *Arch Neurol* 47, 289-307 (1990).
19. Schapira AH. *Neurology* 40, 1369-1373 (1993).
20. Mann DMA, Royeau MC and Ravinder CH. *Neurog Dis Dis* 10, 153-164 (1990).
21. Hardy J and Allsopp DA. *Transl Pharmacol* 10/12, 303-306 (1991).
22. Ravinder S et al. *Neurology* 41, 1448-1452.
23. Cai XD, Goldie TE and Younkin SH. *Science* 259, 814-816 (1993).
24. Csern J et al. *Neuro* 266, 679-684 (1993).
25. Schenck F et al. *Neurogenetics* 4, 29-32 (1994).
26. Hardy J et al. *Lancet* 343, 878-880 (1994).
27. Rapoport R et al. *NeuroReport* 5, 1363-1368 (1994).
28. Roberts GW et al. *NeuroReport* 4, 7-10 (1993).
29. Bartholomew WJ et al. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 90, 8098-8102 (1993).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: M.C.R. was supported by The Mental Health Foundation, and the Wellcome Trust; J.H. by NIA grants (PO1 AG11673) and private donations.

Received 1 August 1994;
accepted 19 September 1994